



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,164	04/01/2004	Esther Regina de Rooij	2183-6412US	1592
24247	7590	08/28/2006	EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			CHEN, STACY BROWN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

44

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/817,164	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DE ROOIJ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Stacy B. Chen	<b>Art Unit</b> 1648	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-4,6,7,14,16 and 17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-4,6,7,14,16 and 17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/9/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 9, 2006 has been entered. Claims 2-4, 6, 7, 14, 16 and 17 are pending and under examination. All rejections of cancelled claims 9 and 10 are moot.

### ***Response to Amendment***

The rejection of claims 9, 10, 16 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention, is moot with regard to cancelled claims 9 and 10, and withdrawn with regard to claims 16 and 17 in view of Applicant's amendment.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1648

*Claims 2-4, 6, 7, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cassol et al. (Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, 1996, 91(3):351-358, "Cassol").*

The claims are drawn to a process for preparing at least one sample for a method of detecting and quantifying a nucleic acid of interest in the at least one sample, said process comprising:

- a) administering at least 100 microliters of the at least one sample to a piece of filter paper capable of absorbing the at least one sample, wherein the absorption results in a at least one spot of the at least one sample of the filter paper,
- b) drying the filter paper having the absorbed at least one spot of the at least one sample,
- c) excising the at least one spot of the at least one sample from the surrounding filter paper,
- d) extracting nucleic acid from the at least one spot of the at least one sample with a nucleic acid isolation solution,
- e) detecting nucleic acid of interest, if present, and
- f) quantifying the nucleic acid of interest in the at least one sample.

In some embodiments, at least 200 or at least 250 microliters of sample is administered to the filter paper. In other embodiments, at least two samples are administered to the filter paper. Specifically, a known amount of a reference nucleic acid is administered to the filter paper. The nucleic acid of interest is from a virus, specifically, HIV or HTLV, and more specifically, HIV-1.

Cassol's disclosure is related to dried blood spots collected on filter paper, used for diagnosis and genetic characterization of HIV-1 (abstract). A method for the direct automated sequencing of HIV-1 field isolates from dried blood collected on filter paper is described on pages 355-356. The method includes the collection of blood by venipuncture and application of

Art Unit: 1648

approximately 2 milliliters (2000 microliters) to filter paper via drops. The filter paper is air dried for three hours and placed in individual envelopes for storage/shipment. When the dried blood spots are analyzed, the spots are excised and subjected to PCR analysis. Figure 1 shows the semi-quantitative detection of HIV-1 drug-resistance mutations by automated sequences of dried blood spot specimens using the above-described analysis. Therefore, the teachings of Cassol anticipate Applicant's claimed invention.

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but fail to persuade. Applicant's substantive arguments are primarily directed to the following:

Applicant asserts that Cassol does not disclose a method according to the claimed invention since, among other things, Cassol does not quantify the nucleic acid of interest present in the sample. Applicant points out that Cassol is concerned about genetic characterization of HIV, particularly drug-resistance mutations in the HIV genome (page 353, first column, second paragraph). The dried blood spots were used to qualitatively determine the occurrence of mutations, not for measuring the total amount of HIV nucleic acid present in the sample. Applicant points to page 354, first column, third paragraph, first sentence, which states,

"The ability to sequence the C2V3 region directly from DBS specimens would allow for the characterization of large numbers of variants involved in maternal-child transmission"

Applicant concludes that Cassol does not quantify the amount of HIV nucleic acid present in the sample, and thus Cassol's teachings do not meet the limitations of the instant claims.

In response to Applicant's arguments, the Office has considered the particular teachings of Cassol that have been pointed to. The Office agrees that Cassol's method is concerned with determining HIV drug-resistance mutations in the HIV genome. The instant claims quantify

Art Unit: 1648

*nucleic acid of interest*, not total nucleic acid content. Cassol's *nucleic acid of interest* is HIV drug-resistance mutations in the HIV genome. Thus, Cassol meets the limitations of the claims with regard to *nucleic acid of interest*. With regard to Applicant's assertion that Cassol does not quantitatively measure nucleic acid of interest, Figure 1 shows the semi-quantitative detection of HIV-1 drug-resistance mutations by automated sequences of dried blood spot specimens. Therefore, in view of the breadth of the claim language, Cassol anticipates the claimed invention.

*(New Rejection) Claims 2, 6, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Moye et al. (4<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, 1997, Abstract, cited in IDS filed 8/9/06, "Moye").* Moye discloses detection and quantification of HIV-1 RNA from filter paper adsorbed whole blood or plasma by NASBA (nucleic acid sequencing-based amplification) isothermal amplification. Moye collected whole blood from HIV-infected subjects. Liquid plasma and whole blood were assayed for HIV-1 RNA by the following method: 100 microliters of whole blood and plasma were spotted onto filter paper and dried. Using lysis buffer, RNA was extracted, amplified and detected. Although Moye does not specifically teach the excision of the dried blood spot prior to extracting RNA, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to excise the spot from the surrounding filter paper if the filter paper were too large to include in the lysis buffer extraction step. The step of cutting the blood spot away from the unused filter paper does not distinguish over the asserted novelty of the instant invention: detecting and quantifying HIV-1 nucleic acid from dried blood spots on filter paper, wherein the blood spot is comprised of 100 microliters of blood. Therefore, Moye's teachings obviate the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1648

*Conclusion*

No claim is allowed.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy B. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-0896. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

*Stacy B. Chen 8/25/06*  
STACY B. CHEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER